OMITED STATES DISTRICT COOK!	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	×
IN RE:	:
	•

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT LITIGATION,

ORDER

25-md-3143 (SHS) (OTW)

This Document Relates To:

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIRT

23-CV-11195

OPENAI, INC.,

-----x

## ONA T. WANG, United States Magistrate Judge:

The Court has reviewed OpenAl's proposed order regarding the proposed methodology for comparing retained output log data and the output log data marked for deletion, and News Plaintiffs' response. (ECF Nos. 104, 169, 171).

The parties are directed to meet and confer by **June 23, 2025,** on OpenAl's proposed methodology and News Plaintiffs' response. Such meet and confer sessions should respond to each of News Plaintiffs' specific comments and the parties should discuss their reasons for accepting (or not) the News Plaintiffs' comments and concerns. The parties' discussion should include, but is not limited to:

- The relevant (non-overlapping) populations of data from which comparisons will be made, and the proposed methodology for making data-driven comparisons;
- The assumptions implicit and explicit in the definition of the data populations and the proposed methodology;
- The sample sizes to be drawn (and whether the parties should use Cochran's formula or another statistical analysis to calculate the same); and
- The proposed searches and keywords.

Case 1:25-md-03143-SHS-OTW Document 217 Filed 06/18/25 Page 2 of 2

The Court will hold an In-Person, confidential settlement conference to discuss the

parties' proposal(s) and any disagreement remaining, on June 26, 2025, from 11:30 a.m. to

1:30 pm in Courtroom 20D, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007. Each party in attendance

shall send no more than two attorneys each to the settlement conference.

The parties are directed to submit a joint proposed methodology for the Court's

consideration by June 24, 2025. This joint proposed methodology and related submissions shall

be provided in lieu of the settlement submissions generally required by § VII. of my Individual

Practices. If the parties disagree on any aspect of the proposal, they must summarize the

dispute in one paragraph and should come to the conference prepared to discuss the dispute in

detail, including whether and how each competing proposal will aid in the Court's Rule 37(e)

analysis.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 18, 2025

New York, New York

Ona T. Wang

United States Magistrate Judge

s/ Ona T. Wang

2